IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

TEXTILE COMPUTER SYSTEMS, INC.,	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:21-cv-1050-ADA
Plaintiff,	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:21-cv-1030-ADA
v.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
BROADWAY NATIONAL BANK D/B/A BROADWAY BANK,	
Defendant.	
TEXTILE COMPUTER SYSTEMS, INC., Plaintiff,	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:21-cv-1051-ADA
v.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
CHARLES SCHWAB BANK,	
Defendant.	
TEXTILE COMPUTER SYSTEMS, INC.,	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:21-cv-1052-ADA
Plaintiff,	
v.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
COMERICA BANK,	
Defendant.	
TEXTILE COMPUTER SYSTEMS, INC.,	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:21-cv-1053-ADA
Plaintiff,	CIVIL ACTION NO. 0.21-CV-1033-ADA
v.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
FROST BANK,	
Defendant.	

TEXTILE COMPUTER SYSTEMS, INC., Plaintiff,	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:21-cv-1054-ADA
v.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
INDEPENDENT BANK,	
Defendant.	
TEXTILE COMPUTER SYSTEMS, INC.,	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:21-cv-1055-ADA
Plaintiff,	CIVIL ACTION NO. 0:21-cv-1055-ADA
V.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
INTERNATIONAL BANK OF COMMERCE,	
Defendant.	
TEXTILE COMPUTER SYSTEMS, INC.,	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:21-cv-1056-ADA
Plaintiff,	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:21-cv-1030-ADA
v.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
SOUTHSIDE BANK,	
Defendant.	
TEXTILE COMPUTER SYSTEMS, INC.,	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:21-cv-1057-ADA
Plaintiff,	CIVIL ACTION NO. 0.21-CV-1037-ADA
v.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
TEXAS CAPITAL BANK,	
Defendant.	

TEXTILE COMPUTER SYSTEMS, INC., Plaintiff,	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:21-cv-1058-ADA
v.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
VANTAGE BANK TEXAS,	
Defendant.	
TEXTILE COMPUTER SYSTEMS, INC.,	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:21-cv-1059-ADA
Plaintiff,	
V.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
WOODFOREST FINANCIAL GROUP,	
INC., WOODFOREST FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC., and WOODFOREST	
NATIONAL BANK,	
Defendants.	

ORDER

Plaintiff requested that all Defendants be ordered to provide the basis of any section 101 defense with their preliminary invalidity contentions. Having considered the parties' positions on this dispute, the Court finds that Plaintiff's request should be granted.

It is, therefore, **ORDERED** as follows:

Each party alleging that any asserted claim does not qualify as patent-eligible subject matter ("Challenged Claim") shall serve on all parties its "Eligibility Contentions," which must contain the following information:

- (1) The class of exception to eligibility (abstract idea, law of nature, or natural phenomenon) to which each Challenged Claim is directed to and the specific abstract idea, law of nature, or natural phenomenon.
- (2) The elements of the Challenged Claims that are alleged to be well understood, routine, and conventional.

(3) If not duplicative of other prior-art based invalidity contentions, any factual basis for the contention in (2) above.

SIGNED on this 8th day of February, 2022.

Honorable Judge Alan D Albright

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE